

# Atypical Hodge Loci

Phillip Griffiths\*

## Outline

- I. Introduction
- II. Two examples
- III. Statement of the main result
- IV. Proof of the main result
- V. Motivic Hodge structures

References

---

\*Talk based on the paper [BKU] and related works given in the references in that work, and on extensive discussions with Mark Green and Colleen Robles. The last section has benefitted through discussions with Matt Kerr.

# I. Introduction

**Q:** *What can one say about Hodge loci?*

- $B$  is a smooth, connected quasi-projective variety;
- $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow B$  is the local system underlying a variation of polarized Hodge structure of weight  $n$ ;
- geometric case; smooth projective family  $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  and

$$\mathbb{V}_b = H^n(X_b, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{prim}};$$

- $\text{HL}(B)$  = set of  $b \in B$  with more Hodge classes in  $\mathbb{V}_b^{\otimes k} := \bigoplus \binom{k}{k} \mathbb{V}_b$  than at a very general point of  $B$ ;
- Cattani-Deligne-Kaplan:  $\text{HL}(B)$  is a countable union of proper algebraic subvarieties;
- in geometric case assuming the Hodge conjecture there are extra classes of algebraic cycles in  $\underbrace{X \times \cdots \times X}_k$ 's.

**Q:** *What can we say about  $HL(B)$ ?*

- very informally stated the main result in [BKU] is

(I.1) *For  $n \geq 3$  and aside from exceptional degenerate cases, every irreducible component of  $HL(B)_{\text{pos}}$  has strictly larger than the expected codimension;*

- know of no conceptual reason why in the non-classical case there should be more than the expected amount of algebraic cycles;
- proof uses integrability conditions for the differential constraint imposed by transversality in the non-classical case;
- sufficient condition for result is

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-k,k} \neq 0, \quad \text{some } k \geq 3;$$

- notation and criterion for this given below.
- implied by coupling length  $\geq 3$

## II. Two examples

- $X = X_b$ ,  $T = T_b B$  and  $T \rightarrow H^1(T_X) = T \text{Def}(X)$ ;
- $V^{p,q} = H^q(\Omega_X^p)$  and  $T \rightarrow \bigoplus \text{Hom}(V^{p,q}, V^{p-1,q+1})$  is Kodaira-Spencer mapping giving first variation of Hodge decomposition of a class in  $H^n(X)$ ;
- for  $X$  a surface,  $\theta \in T$ ,  $\lambda \in \text{Hg}^1(X)$  and  $\theta \cdot \lambda \in H^{0,2}(X)$  gives the first order deviation from  $\lambda$  remaining a Hodge class in the direction  $\theta$ ;
- $\text{NL}_\lambda \subset B$  is the Noether-Lefschetz locus where  $\lambda$  remains a Hodge class; assume reduced and define  $T_\lambda \subset T = \ker\{\theta \rightarrow \theta \cdot \lambda\}$ ;
- for  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d \geq 4$  in the estimate

$$d - 3 \leq \text{codim}_B \text{NL}_\lambda \leq \binom{d-1}{3} = h^{2,0}(X)$$

both bounds are achieved (Green; lower bound  $\iff X$  contains a line);

- now let  $\dim X = 4$ ,  $\lambda \in \text{Hg}^2(X)_{\text{prim}}$ ; in first approximation

$$\text{codim NL}_\lambda \leq h^{1,3}(X) + h^{0,4}(X);$$

- but  $\theta \cdot \lambda \in H^{1,3}(X)$  so this estimate must be refined to

$$(*) \quad \text{codim}_B \text{NL}_\lambda \leq h^{1,3}(X).$$

**Definition:** The right-hand side of  $(*)$  is the *expected codimension* of  $\text{NL}_\lambda$  in  $B$ .

- *Integrability:* With  $T_\lambda \subset T$  as above set

$$\sigma_\lambda = \text{Image}\{T_\lambda \otimes H^{4,0}(X) \rightarrow H^{3,1}(X)\}.$$

**Observation:**

$$\text{codim}_B \text{NL}_\lambda \leq h^{1,3}(X) - \dim \sigma(\lambda).$$

**Proof:** For  $\theta \in T_\lambda$ ,  $\theta' \in T$ ,  $\omega \in H^{4,0}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \theta\omega, \theta'\lambda \rangle &= \langle \omega, \theta\theta'\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega, \theta'\theta\lambda \rangle \quad (\text{integrability}) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of conditions on  $\theta' \in T$  to be in  $T_\lambda$  is  $\leq h^{1,3} - \dim \sigma(\lambda)$ . □

- **Note:** For the first example of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  the expected codimension drops for geometric reasons: if  $L \subset X$  is a line with Hodge class  $\lambda$  and if  $\omega \in H^0(\Omega_X^2) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d-4))$ , then if  $L \subset (\omega)$ ,

$$\langle \theta\lambda, \omega \rangle = \langle \lambda, \theta \cdot \omega \rangle = \int_L \theta \lrcorner \omega = 0$$

for all  $\theta \in H^1(T_X)$ ; thus such  $\omega$ 's do not contribute to the equations defining  $NL_\lambda$ . In the second example the drop by  $\sigma_\lambda$  in the expected codimension is for Hodge theoretic reasons.

### III. Statement of main result

- *Polarized Hodge structure*  $(V, Q, F^\bullet)$  of weight  $n$ 
  - non-degenerate  $Q : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ,  
 $Q(u, v) = (-1)^n Q(v, u)$ ;
  - $F^n \subset F^{n-1} \subset \dots \subset F^0 = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $F^p \oplus \overline{F}^{n-p+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbb{C}}$  for  $0 \leq p \leq n$ ;
  - $V^{p,q} = F^p \cap \overline{F}^q$ ,  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$  with  $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$ ;
  - Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations;
  - $n = 2m$ ,  $\text{Hg}^m(V) = V^{m,m} \cap V$ .
  - Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End}(V, Q)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k}$  where
$$\mathfrak{g}^{-k,k} := \mathfrak{g}^{-k} = \{A \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} : A(V^{p,q}) \subset V^{p-k, q+k}\}$$
$$\ell(\mathfrak{g}) = \min\{k : \mathfrak{g}^{-k} \neq (0)\};$$
  - $\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 3 \implies n \geq 3$ .

- *Mumford-Tate group*

- $V^{\otimes} := \bigoplus^k (\otimes^k V)$ ;
- Hodge tensors  $\text{Hg}^{\bullet}(V) = \bigoplus^k \text{Hg}^{kn/2}(V)$ ;
- $\text{MT}(V) \subset \text{Aut}(V, Q)$  is  $\text{Fix}(\text{Hg}^{\bullet}(V))$ ;
- is a reductive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group  $H$ ;
- finite cover of  $H$  is  $\mathbb{C}^{*k} \times H_0$  where  $H_0$  is semi-simple; for simplicity of exposition we will assume  $H$  is semi-simple; essential ideas appear in this case;



**Example:** Assume  $\lambda, Q$  generate the algebra of Hodge tensors,  $\text{MT}(V) = H_\lambda = \text{Fix } \lambda \subset \text{Aut}(V, Q)$ .

- *Variation of Hodge structure*  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet; B)$ 
  - $B$  and  $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow B$  as above;
  - $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a filtration of  $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_B$  inducing a polarized Hodge structure on each  $\mathbb{V}_b$  (understood there is  $Q : \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ );
  - $\nabla \mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_B^1$  (transversality);
  - for  $b_0 \in B$  and  $V = V_{b_0}$  we have the monodromy group  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(V, Q)$ ;
  - the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Zariski closure  $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Q}} =$  semi-simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group that is a factor of the MT-group of  $(V, \mathbb{Q}, F_b^\bullet)$  at a very general point of  $B$ .

- *Period mappings*

- $G =$  semi-simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group and  $D =$  period domain of polarized Hodge structures of a given type and with generic Mumford-Tate group  $G$ ;
- $D = G(\mathbb{R})/G_0$ ,  $G_0$  compact;
- period mapping  $\Phi : B \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D$ ;
- for simplicity of exposition we will assume that  $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Q}} := G =$  Mumford-Tate group of the polarized Hodge structure at a very general point of  $B$ ;

This is justified because the image  $\Phi(B)$  of the period mapping is contained in a translate of a  $\overline{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit.

- $T_{F_0}D \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k}$  satisfies  $\theta(F^p) \subset F^{p-k}$ ;
- $\Phi_* : T_bB \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$  (transversality);
- image is an abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$  (integrability);
- notation:  $\Phi(B) = P \subset \Gamma \backslash D$ ,  $\tilde{P} \subset D$  inverse image of  $P$ .

- *Hodge loci*

- if  $\lambda, Q$  generate  $Hg^\bullet(V_b^\otimes)$ , then

$$NL_\lambda^0 = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(B) \cap G_\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \cdot o)^0 \quad ({}^0 = \text{identity component});$$

- thus Noether-Lefschetz loci are (translates of) orbits of particular Mumford-Tate subgroups of  $G$ ;
- this is the crucial conceptual point; **Hodge loci are intersections with translates of Mumford-Tate sub-domains.**

**Definition:** If  $H \subset G$  is a Mumford-Tate group and

$$D_H = H(\mathbb{R}) \cdot o, \quad \Gamma_H = \Gamma \cap H$$

$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(B) \cap (\Gamma_H \setminus D_H))^0$  is a **special subvariety** of  $B$ .

- thus special subvarieties of  $B$  are those  $b \in B$  where the algebra of Hodge tensors is strictly larger than at a general point of  $B$ .

- set  $P_H = P \cap (\Gamma_H \setminus D_H)$ , then the standard codimension of an intersection inequality is

$$(b) \quad \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} P_H \leq \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} P + \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} (\Gamma_H \setminus D_H);$$

**Definition:** Special subvariety is **atypical** if we have strict inequality in (b).

- Thus atypical means we have more Hodge tensors than predicted by the usual expected dimension count formula.

**Example:** Notations as in the second example above

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} (\Phi(B) \cap (\Gamma_\lambda \setminus D_\lambda)) &= \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} \Phi(B) \\ &\quad + \text{codim}_{\Gamma \setminus D} (\Gamma_\lambda \setminus D_\lambda) - \dim \sigma(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem ([BKU]):** *If  $\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 3$ , then every special subvariety of  $B$  is atypical.*

**Example:** For smooth  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $\deg(X) \geq 6$  every special subvariety is atypical.

**Reason for result:**  $M$  is a manifold and  $I', I''$  distributions in TM given by  $\{\omega'_i\}, \{\omega''_\alpha\}$ ;  $N', N''$  variable integral manifolds of  $I', I''|_{N'}$ 's; want to estimate codimension of the  $N' \cap N''$ 's; integrability conditions given by  $d\omega'_i$ ; may impose linear relations on the  $\omega''_\alpha|_{N'}$ ; leads to more than expected number of  $N' \cap N''$ 's.

## IV. Proof of the main result

- Assume equality holds in (h) and will arrive at a contraction to the assumption  $\mathfrak{g}^{-k} \neq 0$ ;
- pass to tangent spaces and use

$$\dim T_0 D = \mathfrak{g}^-,$$

$$\dim T_0 D_H = \mathfrak{h}^-,$$

$$T_0 \tilde{P} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1},$$

$$T_0(\tilde{P} \cap D_H) = T_0 \tilde{P} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1}$$

$$\sum_{k \geq 2} \dim \mathfrak{h}^{-k} + \text{codim}_{\mathfrak{h}^{-1}}(T_0 \tilde{P} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1}) = \sum_{k \geq 2} \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-k} + \text{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} T_0 \tilde{P}$$

$$\dim \mathfrak{h}^{-k} \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-k}$$

$$\text{codim}_{\mathfrak{h}^{-1}}(T_0 \tilde{P} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1}) \leq \text{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} T_0 \tilde{P}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h}^{-k} = \mathfrak{g}^{-k}, & k \geq 2 \\ \text{codim}_{\mathfrak{h}^{-1}} T_0 \tilde{P} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{-1} = \text{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-1}} T_0 \tilde{P}. \end{cases}$$

We want to conclude

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{h}^{-1} = \mathfrak{g}^{-1} & \\ (\text{hh}) & \downarrow & \\ & T_0 D_H = T_0 D \implies D_H = D. & \end{array}$$

- *Basic idea*

- $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$  are reductive Lie algebras in which  $\mathfrak{h}^-, \mathfrak{g}^-$  are parabolic sub-algebras;
- there is maximal torus  $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$  relative to which  $\mathfrak{h}^-, \mathfrak{g}^-$  are direct sums of negative root spaces;
- the root space and Hodge decomposition of  $\mathfrak{h}^-, \mathfrak{g}^-$  align in the sense that each of  $\mathfrak{h}^{-k}, \mathfrak{g}^{-k}$  are direct sums of negative root spaces;
- this is the first key point where the Lie theory and Hodge theory interact; the complex structure on  $T_0 D \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} / \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  is given by an  $E \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$  whose centralizer is  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  and whose eigenspace decomposition on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} / \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  is a direct sum of non-trivial conjugate root subspaces (cf. [R] for details);

- let  $\beta_i, i \in I$ , be the simple positive roots with corresponding root space  $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}^+$ ; denote by  $J \subset I$  the subset, possibly empty, where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{h}^+$ ; since every positive root is a sum of the  $\beta_i$ , it will suffice to show that

$$(b) \quad J = I;$$

in this case we will have (b);

- note that  $\mathfrak{g}^-$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \iff$  all  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ .
- *Second key point*
  - this is where integrability comes in;  $\tilde{P} \subset D$  is an integral manifold of the  $G(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant distribution of  $T(D)$  given by  $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}^- = T_0D$ ; the real Lie algebra generated by the brackets in  $\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}$  corresponds to a reductive subgroup  $G'_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\tilde{P} \subset G'_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot o$ ; thus we may assume

$\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$  bracket generates  $\mathfrak{g}^-$

(cf. [R] for details);



- suitably interpreted the previous considerations apply also to  $\tilde{P} \cap D_H$ ; the upshot is that in effect we may assume this bracket generating property also for  $\mathfrak{h}^{-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ ;
- we now assume (b) also does not hold, and from this note that

$$(bb) \quad [\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_j}] = 0, \quad j \in J \text{ and } i \in I \setminus J.$$

Indeed, if this bracket is non-zero, then since  $\mathfrak{h}^{-2} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$  it belongs to  $\mathfrak{h}^2$  and the non-zero root space

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i} = [[\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_j}], \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta_j}] \in \mathfrak{h}^1$$

which is a contradiction;

- for the final step, if  $\mathfrak{g}^3 \neq 0$ , we have  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  such that  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$  is a root. Then

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta_1 - \beta_2}] = \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta_3} \in \mathfrak{h}^1;$$

thus

$$J \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $J \neq I$ , then (bb) gives a contradiction to the fact that the highest root is  $\sum_{i \in I} n_i \beta_i$  with all  $n_i > 0$ .

- If  $n = 2$ , the argument works all the way up to the last step where, as in the first example, we do have  $J = \emptyset$  (and  $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} \neq 0 \iff h^{2,0} > 0$  for  $G = \text{SO}(2a, b)$ ).

- *Examples:*  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  smooth degree  $d$  hypersurface

$$F(x) = 0$$

where  $F(x)$  homogeneous of degree  $d$ . For

$$\begin{cases} S^\bullet = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}], \\ J^\bullet = \text{Jacobian ideal } \{F_{x_0}, \dots, F_{x_{n+1}}\}, \\ R^\bullet = S^\bullet / J_F^\bullet \end{cases}$$

there is an isomorphism

$$H^{p, n-p}(X)_{\text{prim}} \cong R^{(n-p)d+n-2};$$

tangent space family of  $X$ 's is

$$T \cong R^d$$

and

$$(\#\#) \quad T \otimes H^{p,n-p}(X)_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow H^{p-1,n-p+1}(X)_{\text{prim}}$$

given by multiplication of polynomials

$$R^d \otimes R^{(n-p)d+n-2} \rightarrow R^{(n-p+1)d+n-2}.$$

$X$  non-singular gives (Macaulay's theorem) that

*the mappings  $(\#\#)$  are non-zero whenever both sides are non-zero.*

$G$  is Mumford-Tate group for the period mapping of  $X$ 's, then

$$R^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} \subset F^{-1} \text{End}(V, Q).$$

Image is an *abelian* sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , induces

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k}$$

giving

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k} \subset \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom} \left( H^{p,n-p}(X)_{\mathrm{prim}}, H^{p-k,n-p+k}(X)_{\mathrm{prim}} \right)$$

which is a subspace of

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k R^d \otimes R^{(n-p)d+n-2} \rightarrow R^{(n-p+k)d+n-2}$$

given by multiplication of polynomials. Conclude that map is non-zero whenever both sides are non-zero, which gives  $\mathfrak{g}^{-3,3} \neq 0$  for  $n \geq 3, d \geq 6$ . □

- In the second example at the beginning

$$\sigma(\lambda) = 0 \iff \mathfrak{g}^{-3} = (0).$$

- in general *coupling length* defined by

$$\zeta(\mathfrak{a}) = \max\{m : \text{Sym}^m \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{V}_b^{n,0}, \mathbb{V}_b^{n-m,m}) \neq 0\}$$

at a general point of  $B$ . Then

$$\zeta(\mathfrak{a}) \geq \ell(\mathfrak{g}).$$

There are many examples where  $\zeta(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 3$ ; e.g., hypersurfaces as above, CY's of dimension  $\geq 3$  whose Yukawa coupling is  $\neq 0$ .

## V. Motivic Hodge structures

- Recent posting [arXiv.org/abs/2308.16164](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.16164) by Tobias Kreutz gives an interesting application of the method in [BKU].
- Idea is nice; statement of the result is not complete because it does not use integrability of transversality; following is an amended version.
- Polarized Hodge structure (PHS)  $(V, F^\bullet, Q) := H$  comes from geometry if
  - (first approximation)  $H = H^n(X)$  for a smooth projective variety  $X$ ,
  - actual definition is motivic; basically  $H$  is made up of sub-quotients of the above.
- These objects have Mumford-Tate groups  $G$  and corresponding Mumford-Tate domains  $D$  with compact dual  $\check{D} = G(\mathbb{C})/P$ ; this is a homogenous algebraic variety defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

- If  $D$  is non-classical then most points of  $D$  do not come from geometry; intuitive reason is that because of the differential constraint the image of a period mapping does *not* contain an open set; the set of points of coming from geometry is the complement of a countable union of proper analytic subvarieties.
- Nobody has exhibited an explicit  $H$  not coming from geometry; assuming the generalized Hodge conjecture (GHC) and the version due to André of Grothendieck's generalized period conjecture (GPC), Kreuzer gives a necessary condition that  $H$  come from geometry.
- With terms to be explained the result is

$$\text{tr deg}(H) < L(\mathfrak{g}) \implies H \text{ does not come from geometry.}$$

- $H$  is defined over a field  $k$  if equivalently
  - $F^p \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k$ ,
  - $F^\bullet \in \check{D}(k)$ .



- Then the definition

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg(H) := \min \mathrm{tr} \deg(k)$$

makes sense.

- As above  $H \in \check{D} = G(\mathbb{C})/P$  where  $G =$  Mumford-Tate group of  $H$ , and we define

$$L(\mathfrak{g}) := \min \{ \mathrm{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^0} \mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} \text{ is abelian} \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} L(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 & \iff D \text{ is a Hermitian symmetric domain} \\ L(\mathfrak{g}) > 0 & \iff D \text{ is non-classical.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem:** Assuming (GHC) and (GPC), if

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg(H) < L(\mathfrak{g})$$

the  $H$  does **not** come from geometry.

- Equivalently,

$$H \text{ comes from geometry} \implies \mathrm{tr} \deg(H) \geq L(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- For  $X$  defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  the GPC roughly says that the relations over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfied by the period matrix are reflected in the Mumford-Tate group of the PHS. The extension of the GPC to a general  $X$  is due to André is essential for the proof.
- The argument also gives for  $H = H^n(X)$  with Mumford-Tate domain  $D$  and assuming GPC

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg H < \dim D \implies X \text{ is **not** defined over } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

**Example:**  $n = 2$  and  $H$  has Hodge numbers  $(2, b, 2)$

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg(H) \leq b \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H \text{ does not come} \\ \text{from geometry} \end{array} \right\}.$$

$n = 3$  and  $H$  has Hodge numbers  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg(H) \leq 2 \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H \text{ does not come} \\ \text{from geometry} \end{array} \right\}.$$

# References

- [BKU] G. Baldi, B. Klingler, and E. Ullmo, On the distribution of the Hodge locus, *Invent. Math.* **235** (2024), no. 2, 441–487.
- [R] C. Robles, Schubert varieties as variations of Hodge structure, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **20**(3) (2014), 719–768.